DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14761259

# **Quality Enhancement of Higher Education: A Case Study of Private Higher Educational Institutions in Provinces of Cambodia**

Reth Chanthoun<sup>1</sup>; In Channdy<sup>2</sup>; Phan Phalkun<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> BELTEI International University, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

Publication Date: 2025/02/01

#### **Abstract**

The study aims to investigate the quality of higher educational enhancement for sustainable development in North-Eastern region, Cambodia. The research design: quantitative approach was the main supplemented by the qualitative method and survey interview was chosen because it best met the study objectives. Three research questions: RQ1, RQ2, and RQ3 were developed from an extensive literature review related to the study. 384 panelists (Cochran, 1963) with heterogeneous characteristics: sex, nationality, qualification, working seniority/ position, specialization, were invited to join the interviews. To understand the situation analysis of educational quality enhancement in North-East region, SWOT Interplay was also used. The research design was analyzed by SPSS version 28.0. The 59-item questionnaire reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .982 (N=59). If a reliability of scale is more than .80, the internal consistency within a scale is very good (Malley & George, 2000). The result of testing has shown that the validity and reliability of the scales used to measure the independence variables consisting of Educational Management (N=5 items) reported a reliability of α= .866, Academic Curriculum(N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .855, Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs (N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .844, Facilities and Supporting Staff (N=7 items) reported a reliability of α= .882, Student Assessment (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .903, Collaborations (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .909, Financial Management (N=5 items), reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .905, Internal Quality Assessment (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .913, External Quality Assessment (N=5 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .913 as the results of the research study. Data analysis: Descriptive (mean, mode, median, SD), Qualitative methods....thematic analysis/narrative/constant.

Keywords: Educational Quality, Enhancement, and Sustainable Development in North-East Region.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

North-East region is considered as a rural area which hasn't settled as much as in the city for both educational sectors and job labor markets (Heng, 2023). It's subsequently; the education system has been concerning as the vital feature to the learners, or educators, specifically the whole community developments in that area (Local villagers). The study aims to seek the resolution how the higher quality education is a must to those institutions. Higher education plays a vital role in determining the quality and availability of a labor force needed to drive socioeconomic growth (Heng, 2023a). Low-quality higher education would lead to (over) reliance on an imported workforce or local low-skilled workers, as low-quality University graduates tend to be unable to meet the needs of the changing labor market. This situation requires relevant stakeholders, particularly the government, to find ways to ensure that university graduates are equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills to meaningfully contribute to national development. In Cambodia, higher education has made positive and impressive progress despite the many challenges facing the sector (Heng, 2023b; Heng & Sol, 2022). In the context of the global knowledge-based economy, the role of higher education could not be more essential. According to Heng (2022, 2023a), given the global trend toward building a knowledge-based society and developing world-class universities, the role of universities and other higher education institutions (HEIs) has received considerable attention, particularly in terms of producing, distributing, and using knowledge to drive development and innovation. Heng (2023a) added that "universities are the key engine of knowledge production and dissemination" (p. 5) and their roles are central to the development of a knowledge-based society. The quality of education is an intangible (things that cannot be touched), namely the quality of education that is difficult to touch and difficult to measure standards except by quantifying everything. The educational system is invested with the responsibility

Chanthoun, R., Channdy, I., & Phalkun, P. (2025). Quality Enhancement of Higher Education: A Case Study of Private Higher Educational Institutions in Provinces of Cambodia. *Research Journal of Engineering and Medical Science*, *I*(1), 11–19. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14761259

of absorbing, assimilating and delivering the new knowledge to its incumbents. In depth information and insight are taught in higher education advancing pupils to new areas of knowledge in various fields.

#### > Statement of Problem

The study is conducted to realize that how the Northeast region challenges and contributes their quality education providers to those students such as lecturing, environments and materials supported that are used to quality enhancement for sustainable development the same as the city in Cambodia.

#### ➤ Research Objectives

- To define the challenges of education quality enhancement in higher education institutions;
- To determine the roles of QECs in education quality enhancement in the higher education institution;
- To determine the effective strategies of Education Quality Enhancement of the HEIs

## > Research Questions

 What challenges do Quality Enhancement Cells of the Higher Education Institutions face in the education quality enhancement?

- How do Quality Enhancement Cells contribute to Promote Education Quality Enhancement of the Higher Education Institutions?
- What are the effective factors of Educational Quality Enhancement Cells of the HEIs?

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Since the 1990s, education systems have gone through a range of phases. Systems have moved away from central management of education inputs by ministries of education. There was a shift towards decentralization and greater school autonomy in a search for school improvement. Decentralization was coupled with an increased focus on accountability and results in education (Fullan, 2009; Hattie, 2015; Malone, 2013; OECD, 2014; Salhberg, 2010). This gave way to school leaders adopting a more managerial role, focusing on delivering on school objectives more directly, especially in English speaking countries. This was followed with the introduction of more national and international evaluations at the student and system level at the beginning of the 21st century, in line with trends towards of increased public accountability and decentralization. The introduction of evaluations also reflected a shift from inputs to outcomes. At this time, the school leader role shifted towards instructional leadership, focusing more directly on school outcomes.



Fig 1 Literature Map (Theoretical Framework)

#### III. METHODOLOGY

The research design: quantitative approach was the main supplemented by the qualitative method and survey interview was chosen because it best met the study objectives. To understand the situation analysis of educational quality enhancement in North-East region, SWOT Interplay was also used. The research design was analyzed by SPSS version 28.0. The 59-item questionnaire reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .982 (N=59). If a reliability of scale is more than .80, the internal consistency within a scale is very good (Malley & George, 2000). The result of testing has shown that the validity and reliability of the scales used to measure the independence variables

consisting of Educational Management (N=5 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .866$ , Academic Curriculum(N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .855$ , Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs (N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .844$ , Facilities and Supporting Staff (N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .882$ , Student Assessment (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .903$ , Collaborations (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .909$ , Financial Management (N=5 items), reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .905$ , Internal Quality Assessment (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .913$ , External Quality Assessment (N=5 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha = .913$  as the results of the research study.

#### IV. DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1 Demographic Characteristics

		Frequency	Percent
	20-29	33	47.1
Age	30-39	13	18.6
	40-49	23	32.9
	50-59	1	1.4
	Total	70	100.0
Gender	Male	46	65.7
	Female	24	34.3
	Total	70	100.0
Education	Public	21	30.0
Institution	Private	49	70.0
	Total	70	100.0
<b>Education Level</b>	Bachelor	35	50.0
	Masters	25	35.7
	PhD	10	14.3
	Total	70	100.0
Academic working	Below Five years	25	35.7
experience	Five years	8	11.4
	Ten years	9	12.9
	Over ten years	28	40.0
	Total	70	100.0
Position	Rector	3	4.3
	Vice Rector	2	2.9
	Dean	2	2.9
	Vice Dean	1	1.4
	Head of Academic department/Office	4	5.8
	Lecturer	26	37.1
	Others	32	45.7
	Total	70	100.0

### ➤ Validity & Reliability

The quantitative data was analyzed by SPSS version 28.0. The 59-item questionnaire reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .982 (N=59). If a reliability of scale is more than .80, the internal consistency within a scale is very good (Malley & George, 2000).

Table 2 Internal Consistency – Cronbach's Alpha

Variable	Number of Items	Cronbach's alpha
Educational Management (Administration and		
Supervision)		
Academic Curriculum		
Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs		
Facilities and Supporting Staff		
Student Assessment	52	.979
Collaborations		
Financial Management		
Internal Quality Assessment		
External Quality Assessment		

Table 2 show the result of testing the validity and reliability of the scales used to measure the independence variables consisting of Educational Management (N=5 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .866, Academic Curriculum(N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .855, Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs (N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .844, Facilities and Supporting Staff (N=7 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ =

.882, Student Assessment (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .903, Collaborations (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .909, Financial Management (N=5 items), reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .905, Internal Quality Assessment (N=6 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .913, External Quality Assessment (N=5 items) reported a reliability of  $\alpha$ = .913 as the results of the research study.

Table 3 Cronbach's Alpha

	Variable	Number of Items	Cronbach's alpha
HE1	Educational Management (Administration and Supervision)	5	.865
HE2	Academic Curriculum	5	.855
HE3	Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs	7	.874
HE4	Facilities and Supporting Staff	7	.882
HE5	Student Assessment	6	.903
HE6	Collaborations	6	.909
HE7	Financial Management	5	.905
HE1	Internal Quality Assessment	6	.913
HE2	External Quality Assessment	5	.918

# V. RESEARCH FINDINGS

Table 4 Educational Management

	Descriptive Statistics					
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
H 1.1	The faculty has defined vision, missions and actions and operational	70	2	<mark>5</mark>	<b>4.26</b>	<mark>.863</mark>
	plan following the University's strategic plan.					
H 1.2	The faculty has widely disseminated widely the Vision, Missions and	70	2	5	4.14	.804
	some regulations to teachers, students and the relevant others.					
H 1.3	The faculty arranged both ordinary and extraordinary meetings for	70	2	5	3.97	.722
	promoting the principle policies, strengthening technical learning and					
	teaching methods and curriculum and so on.					
H 1.4	The faculty members facilitate the teachers in solving the problems	70	1	5	3.96	.711
	such as major consultation on rooms, facilities, administration, and					
	others relevant to their teaching.					
H 1.5	The faculty members solve the students' problems like changing class	70	1	5	3.96	.788
	schedules and majors, and helping students do internship, find part					
	time job and deal with other issues involved in quality specialization.					
	Valid N (listwise)	70				
	Mean Average				4.580	

Table 5 Academic Curriculum

	Descriptive Statistics						
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>	
H2.1	The HEI Curriculum is designed based on the outcomes of the study on	70	3	5	3.87	.635	
	the market's labor needs.						
H2.2	The HEI's Curriculum design is prepared based on the outcomes from the	70	2	5	3.96	.669	
	domains of the employers' traits and national qualification framework (the soft						
	skills and the hard skills) to meet the market's labor needs.						
H2.3	The HEI's Curriculum design is prepared based on the outcomes of the	70	2	5	4.03	.701	
	research by orienting to knowledge, skills, and attitude.						
H2.4	The HEI's Curriculum courses are designed based on the students'	70	3	5	<mark>4.04</mark>	<mark>.600</mark>	
	learning outcomes, teaching activities, and student assessment methods.						
H2.5	HEI's Curriculum is evaluated for revising and developing to be on schedule	70	3	5	3.97	.701	
	set in the strategic plan.						
	Valid N (listwise)	<b>70</b>				-	
	Average Mean				3.974		

Table 6 Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs

	Descriptive Statistics					
		N	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Deviation
Н3.1	Teachers are clearly selected with the qualified specialization and specification.	70	2	5	4.37	<mark>.765</mark>
Н3.2	Teachers are encouraged for their achievement with financial and promoting incentives and credentials.	70	1	5	3.81	.856
Н3.3	HEI has planned and implemented teacher development plan to improve their ability and capability in teaching	70	2	5	4.09	.794
Н3.4	The teachers showed the clear course syllabus to the students and faculty, including: learning objectives or student learning outcomes, course content, course outlines, teaching methods (software or video clips, pictures, or laboratory), assessment meth	70	3	5	4.00	.702
Н3.5	The teachers have followed many methods in teaching in line with the course plan which had shown earlier.	70	2	5	4.10	.617
Н3.6	Course Syllabus was written by aligning between the student learning outcomes, teaching methods, and the student assessment methods for effective outcomes.	70	2	5	4.03	.538
Н3.7	Teachers are evaluated from both faculty and students on teaching at the end of the semester.	70	2	5	4.20	.734
	Valid N (listwise)  Mean Average	70			4.085	

Table 7 Facilities and Supporting Staff

	Descriptive Statisti	cs				
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
H4.1	HEI has the lecturing facilities (lecturing halls, both small and	70	3	5	<b>4.09</b>	<mark>.676</mark>
	big) are adequate					
H4.2	The institution has enough computer and language lab and	70	2	5	3.96	.647
	laboratory facilities for practicum					
H4.3	Internet operates well for the students in the institution.	70	2	5	3.67	.812
H4.4	The institution has a library with relevant books arranged orderly	70	2	5	3.91	.756
	and up-to-date (e-book).					
H4.5	Supporting Staffs are selected according to qualification and	70	2	5	3.84	.773
	competent for computer and language lab and laboratory.					
H4.6	Supporting Staff is encouraged for their achievement with	70	1	5	3.69	.894
	financial and nonfinancial incentives.					
H4.7	HEI has planned and implemented supporting staff's development	69	1	5	3.87	.726
	plans to improve their ability and capability in teaching					
	Valid N (listwise)	69		•		
	Mean Average				3.861	

# Table 8 Student Assessment

	Descriptive Statistics					
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
H5.1	The faculties have strictly administered entrance tests for the	70	1	5	3.91	.897
	student candidates' selection.					
H5.2	In the class process, the assessment methods (quiz, homework,	70	2	5	4.23	.726
	assignment, presentation and / or writing test for Midterm and Final					
	Exam ) were conducted, covering the course objectives of the					
	curriculum which were disseminated when the classes had started					
H5.3	Teachers have conducted the student's formative assessment,	70	2	5	<b>4.29</b>	<mark>.801</mark>
	and summative tests strictly					
H5.4	All exam assessments (midterm and final exams) in the class are	70	2	5	4.17	.851
	conducted with transparency					
H5.5	The student assessment methods are always consistent with the	70	2	5	4.06	.814
	student learning outcomes and teaching methods.					
H5.6	Teachers allow the students to protest their results of assessment.	70	2	5	4.30	.768
	Valid N (listwise)	70				
	Mean Average				4.160	

Table 9 Collaborations

	Descriptive Statistics					
	Descriptive Statistics	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Deviation
H6.1	HEI has cooperated widely with external and internal relevant sectors.	70	2	5	3.74	.755
H6.2	HEI widely exchange the students with outside institutional	69	1	5	3.64	.939
	partners to give the experiences of learning and teaching in their					
	specialization					
H6.3	HEI compiled and disseminated networks with public, private and	70	1	5	3.79	.797
	NGOs sectors (phone number, e-mail) for market researches and					
	internship.					
H6.4	The students often outreach the internship at the real workplaces of	70	1	5	<b>4.00</b>	<mark>.868</mark>
	partners.					
H6.5	The students are often invited to listen to guest speakers' experimental	70	1	5	3.89	.925
	speeches from partners.					
H6.6	HEI always receives comments or feedbacks from the organization	70	2	5	3.84	.754
	partners to correct curriculum, teaching, and learning toward improving					
	the student learning outcomes for the labor market needs.					
	Valid N (listwise)	69				
	Mean Average				3.816	

Table10 Financial Management

	Descriptive Statistics					
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
H7.1	HEI has enough budget plans along with HEI's strategic plan, such as	70	2	5	4.09	.794
	along with an action plan, and operational plan.					
H7.2	HEI has enough budget to ensure academic quality mechanism	70	1	5	4.03	.868
H7.3	HEI has sufficient finance to expense appropriate wage and salary	70	2	5	<mark>4.19</mark>	<mark>.804</mark>
	for staffs and human resources development.					
H7.4	HEI has sufficient finance to allocate for equipping and maintaining the	70	2	5	4.06	.832
	facilities, such as building, halls, rooms, laboratories, computer lab, and					
	library.					
H7.5	HEI has sufficient finance to support for research, internship or	70	2	5	3.93	.873
	project for the student achievement.					
	Valid N (listwise)	70				
	Mean Average				4.060	

Table 11 Internal Quality Assessment

	Descriptive Statistics					
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
HE1.1	Internal Quality Assessment oriented the curriculum design and	70	1	5	3.90	.783
	development towards high quality based on learning outcomes in					
	order to meet with the national qualification and labor market skills.					
HE1.2	Internal Quality Assessment ensures that the programs are delivered	70	2	5	3.94	.740
	in a way that encourages students to take an active role in creating					
	the student-centered approach, and conducting the assessment of					
	students accordingly.					
HE1.3	Internal Quality Assessment evaluates the academic staff on	70	2	5	4.01	.752
	qualifications, motivation policy and staff development to enhance					
	his or her teaching effectiveness					
HE1.4	Internal Quality Assessment shares ideas about the consistence	70	2	5	<b>4.10</b>	<mark>.745</mark>
	of using a sufficient infrastructure and facilities for					
	strengthening the quality of teaching and learning toward					
	effective student learning outcomes.					
HE1.5	Internal Quality Assessment checks HEI's collaboration with	70	1	5	3.81	.873
	other public, private and NGOs sectors for the students'					
	internship and field trips to practice their classes' theories.					
HE1.6	Internal Quality Assessment urges the HEI to understand her	70	2	5	3.89	.733
	strengths and weaknesses to change in improvement and also					
	prepare external assessment requirement.					
	Valid N (listwise)	70				
	Mean Average				3.941	

Table 12 External Quality Assessment

	Table 12 External Quanty Assessment					
	Descriptive Statistics					
		N	Min	Max	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
HE2.1	External Quality Assessment provides external validity and	70	2	5	3.97	.742
	confirmation of quality in HEI and ensures that its academic program					
	exhibits the characteristics of excellence in professional skills. Both					
	graduates and their employers benefit from external quale					
HE2.2	External Quality Assessment supports and promotes innovation and	70	2	5	4.00	.702
	creativity in teaching and learning through the sharing of best practices in					
	professional education through conducting yearly conferences and					
	workshops to assist in the professional development					
HE2.3		70	2	5	4.00	.722
	accountability in higher education institution is increasing rapidly.					
	Specialized accreditation by the external authority body who provides					
	external accreditation for the quality of an ins					
HE2.4		70	1	5	4.10	.783
	engages in student/faculty exchanges with any external quality					
	accreditation body member schools around the world.					
HE2.5		70	2	5	<b>4.11</b>	<mark>.692</mark>
	bachelor's or graduate degrees in business had their programs					
	accredited. Therefore, it is becoming increasingly important for an					
	institution's reputation and standing to have achieved					
	Valid N (listwise)	70				
	Mean Average				4.036	

<sup>➤</sup> RQ1.What challenges do Quality Enhancement Cells of the 5 private higher institutions face to assure program quality and development of graduates' attributes?

Table 13 Mean Average Comparting of all Variables

	Variables	Number of Items	Mean Average Value	
HE1	Educational Management (Administration and Supervision)	5	4.580	
HE2	Academic Curriculum	5	3.974	
HE3	Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs	7	4.085	
HE4	Facilities and Supporting Staff	7	3.861	
HE5	Student Assessment	6	4.160	
HE6	Collaborations	6	<b>3.816</b>	
HE7	Financial Management	5	4.060	
HE1	Internal Quality Assessment	6	3.941	
HE2	External Quality Assessment	5	4.036	

<sup>➤</sup> RQ2.How do Quality Enhancement Cells of the 5 private higher institutions contribute to promote quality enhancement?

Table 14 Mean Average Comparting of all Variables

	Variables	Number of Items	Mean Average Value
HE1	Educational Management (Administration and Supervision)	5	<b>4.580</b>
HE2	Academic Curriculum	5	3.974
HE3	Academic Staff and Teaching Strategies at HEIs	7	4.085
HE4	Facilities and Supporting Staff	7	3.861
HE5	Student Assessment	6	<b>4.160</b>
HE6	Collaborations	6	3.816
HE7	Financial Management	5	4.060
HE1	Internal Quality Assessment	6	3.941
HE2	External Quality Assessment	5	4.036

<sup>&</sup>gt; RQ3.What are the effective factors of Education Quality Enhancement Cells of the 5 HEIs?

Table 15 The Most Effective Items in all the Education Quality Enhancement Cells of the 5 HEIs

No	Variables		ms in an the Education Quarty Emidicement Con-	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
HE1	Educational Management	H 1.1	The faculty has defined vision, missions and	70	4.26	.863
	(Administration and		actions and operational plan following the			
	Supervision)		University's strategic plan.			
HE2	Academic Curriculum	H2.4	The HEI's Curriculum courses are designed	70	4.04	.600
			based on the students' learning outcomes,			
			teaching activities, and student assessment			
			methods.			
HE3	Academic Staff and Teaching	H3.1	Teachers are clearly selected with the	<b>70</b>	4.37	.765
	Strategies at HEIs		qualified specialization and specification.			
HE4	Facilities and Supporting Staff	H4.1	HEI has the lecturing facilities (lecturing halls,	70	4.09	.676
			both small and big) are adequate			
HE5	Student Assessment	H5.3	Teachers have conducted the student's formative	70	4.29	.801
			assessment, and summative tests strictly			
HE6	Collaborations	H6.4	1	70	4.00	.868
			real workplaces of partners.			
HE7	Financial Management		HEI has sufficient finance to expense	70	4.19	.804
			appropriate wage and salary for staffs and			
			human resources development.			
HE1	Internal Quality Assessment	HE1.4	Internal Quality Assessment shares ideas about	70	4.10	.745
			the consistence of using a sufficient			
			infrastructure and facilities for strengthening the			
			quality of teaching and learning toward effective			
			student learning outcomes.			
HE2	External Quality Assessment	HE2.5	External Quality Assessment helps colleges and	70	4.11	.692
			universities offering bachelor's or graduate			
			degrees in business had their programs			
			accredited. Therefore, it is becoming			
			increasingly important for an institution's			
			reputation and standing to have achieved a			

## VI. CONCLUSION

Higher Education in Cambodia continues to grow in terms of quantity and quality (Lee, 2002). The Accreditation Committee of Cambodia (ACC) is committed to promote educational quality and excellence across higher education institutions in the country. Northeastern is a part of Cambodia that Government has recognized the importance of private higher education and has taken a number of steps to expand access and enhanced as the city (Un Leang, 2018). Public and Private universities have grown drastically for the last ten years. There are many Universities in Cambodia but among of private University recently, there has been up 40 private universities. Quality accreditation to all higher private education institutions to ensure and promote the quality of higher education in Cambodia to meet the national and international standards, and to develop its standards, policies, procedures, management structures, roles, functions, and responsibilities in order to provide institutional accreditation to HEIs, offering degree programs ranging from Bachelor to Doctoral degrees in the Kingdom of Cambodia. Based on the results, it can be seen that most universities appeared to have negligible focus on academic collaborations, facilities and supporting staff, internal quality assessment, and academic curriculum, but they seem to have been working so well on other factors, namely: educational management (on item H1.1), student assessment (on item H5.3), academic staff and teaching strategies at HEIs (on item H3.1), financial management (H7.3), and external quality assessment (on item H9.5). Other items in these independent variables H1, H3, H5, H7 and H8 need more attention to be as well as H1.1, H3.1, H5.3, H7.3 and H9.5

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. AnatChoudhury, C. (2017). Assessment of anatomical knowledge: Approaches taken by higher education institutions. Clin Anat, 290-299.
- [2]. Barnett, R. (1997). Higher education: A critical business. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- [3]. Barrell, J. (1992). The public prospect and the private view. In The Birth of Pandora (pp. 41-61). Springer.
- [4]. Brown, R. (2004). Quality assurance in higher education: The UK experience since 1992. Routledge.
- [5]. Choudhury, B. a. (2017). Assessment of anatomical knowledge: Approaches taken by higher education institutions. Clin Anat, 290-299.
- [6]. Dill, D. (2007). Quality assurance in higher education: Practices and issues. in-Chief Barry McGaw, Eva Baker and Penelope P. Peterson, Elsevier Publications.
- [7]. Ernberg, I. (2019). Education aimed at increasing international collaboration and decreasing inequalities. Mol Oncol, 648-652.
- [8]. Ford, D. (2011). Negotiating globalization: The Royal University of Phnom Penh, Cambodia. In

- Higher Education in the Asia-Pacific (pp. 161-177). Springer.
- [9]. Forrest, C. (2010). Physical education resources, class management, and student physical activity levels: A structure-process-outcome approach to evaluating physical education effectiveness. Journal of School Health, 573-580.
- [10]. Hearn, J. C. (1985). Determinants of college students' overall evaluations of their academic programs. Research in Higher Education, 413-437.
- [11]. Hum, C. (2013). Historical perspectives of business education at the higher learning institutions and skills development for industry in Cambodia. International Journal of Learning and Development, 148-163.
- [12]. Irvin, S. M. (1996). Creative teaching strategies. J Contin Educ Nurs, 108-140.
- [13]. Lee, S. H. (2002). External quality assurance schemes: a local perspective. Rinsho Byori, 131-2.
- [14]. Lomas, L. (2007). Are students customers? Perceptions of academic staff. Quality in Higher Education, 31-44.
- [15]. Lomas, L. (2007). Are students customers? Perceptions of academic staff. Quality in Higher Education, 31-44.
- [16]. MacKenzie, N. (1970). Teaching and Learning. An Introduction to New Methods and Resources in Higher Education.
- [17]. Mizikaci, F. (2006). A systems approach to program evaluation model for quality in higher education. Quality Assurance in Education.
- [18]. Monk, D. H. (1992). Education productivity research: An update and assessment of its role in education finance reform. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 307-332.
- [19]. Newall, F. M. (2006). Home INR monitoring of oral anticoagulant therapy in children using the CoaguChek<sup>TM</sup> S point-of-care monitor and a robust education program. Thrombosis research, 587-593.
- [20]. Potluri, R. M. (2011). Quality management in Indian higher education system: Role of internal quality assurance cell (IQAC). Asian Journal of Business Management, 251-256.
- [21]. Raisamo, R. (2014). Challenges and instructors' intention to adopt and use open educational resources in higher education in Tanzania. International review of research in open and distributed learning, 249-271.
- [22]. Rana, S. (2014). Cambodia and the ASEAN economic community: Opportunities, challenges, and implications for human resource development. Reconsidering development.
- [23]. Rowley, J. (1996). Motivation and academic staff in higher education. Quality assurance in education.
- [24]. Sam, C. (2017). Stakeholder involvement in the higher education sector in Cambodia. Studies in Higher Education, 1764-1784.
- [25]. Sok Say, B. R. (2021). Internationalization of higher education in Cambodia: toward an agenda for higher education development. International Journal of Comparative Education and Development.

- [26]. Stoessel, K. (2015). Sociodemographic diversity and distance education: Who drops out from academic programs and why? Research in Higher Education, 228-246.
- [27]. Un Leang, S. S. (2018). Higher education systems and institutions, Cambodia. Higher Education, 80.
- [28]. Yock, B. Z. (2011). Teaching biomedical technology innovation as a discipline. Sci Transl Med, 18-90.